TABLE OF CONTENTS.

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED.	
Albany and Susquehanna Railroad Company, Delaware	PA
and Hudson Company v	4
American Banana Company v. United Fruit Company .	3
American Security and Trust Company, Turner v	2
Arts, Coder, Trustee of Armstrong, Bankrupt, v	2
Asociacion de Señoras Damas del Santo Asilo de Ponce,	
Martinez v	
Atchison, Topeka, and Santa Fe Railway Company v.	
Calhoun	
Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company, Hur-	
ley, Trustee in Bankruptcy of the estate of the Mount	
Carmel Coal Company v	1
Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company v.	
Sowers	
Attorney General (United States ex rel.) v. Central Rail-	
road Company of New Jersey	3
Attorney General (United States ex rel.) v. Delaware and	
Hudson Company	3
Attorney General (United States ex rel.) v. Delaware,	
Lackawanna and Western Railroad Company.	3
Attorney General (United States ex rel.) v. Erie Railroad	
Company	
Attorney General (United States ex rel.) v. Lehigh Valley	
Railroad Company	
Attorney General (United States ex rel.) v. Pennsylvania	
Railroad Company	;
Baltimore and Ohio Railroad Company, Maiorano v	,
Boise Artesian Hot and Cold Water Company v. Boise City	:
Boise City, Boise Artesian Hot and Cold Water Company 2	•

(v)

	PAG
Bonner v. Gorman, Administrator	. 80
Boquillas Land and Cattle Company v . Curtis	339
Brown, Equitable Life Assurance Society v	2
Calhoun, Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Com-	
pany v]
Central Railroad Company of New Jersey, United States v.	366
Central Railroad Company of New Jersey, United States ex	
rel. Attorney General v	366
Chesapeake and Ohio Railway Company v. McCabe, Ad-	
ministratrix	207
Cincinnati, New Orleans and Texas Pacific Railway Com-	
pany, Silver et al., as Railroad Commission, v	199
Coder, Trustee of Armstrong, Bankrupt, v. Arts	223
Commercial Mutual Accident Company v. Davis	24
Commonwealth of Kentucky, by Alexander, Revenue	
Agent, Selliger v	200
Cowardin, Sand Filtration Corporation of America v .	360
Curtis, Boquillas Land and Cattle Company v	339
Curus, Doquinas Dand and Cavile Company v	908
Davidson Bros. Marble Company v . United States on the	
relation of Gibson	10
Davis, Commercial Mutual Accident Company v	24
Delaware and Hudson Company v . Albany and Susque-	
hanna Railroad Company	435
Delaware and Hudson Company, United States v	366
Delaware and Hudson Company, United States ex rel. At-	
torney General v	366
Delaware, Lackawanna and Western Railroad Company,	
United States v	336
Delaware, Lackawanna and Western Railroad Company,	
United States ex rel. Attorney General v	366
Dickinson, United States v	92
Dispensary Commission of South Carolina v. Wilson Dis-	-
tilling Company	151
Dispensary Commission of South Carolina v. State of	
South Carolina ex rel. Ray, Trustee	174
South Carolina on 100. Hay, 11 to 000	T 1 3

TABLE	OF	CON	MATE	PT

vii

Equitable Life Assurance Society of the United States v.	FAUD
Brown	25
Erie Railroad Company, United States v	366
Erie Railroad Company, United States ex rel. Attorney	
General v	366
Evans, United States v	297
Gibson (United States ex rel.), Davidson Bros. Marble	
Company v	•10
Gorman, Administrator, Bonner v	86
Grand Central Mining Company, Mammoth Mining Com-	
pany v	72
Hepner v. United States	103
Hurley, Trustee in Bankruptcy of the Estate of the Mount	
Carmel Coal Company, Bankrupt, v. The Atchison,	
Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company	126
Tilimaia Control Dailmand Commons Cilonatal on Dailmand	
Illinois Central Railroad Company, Siler et al., as Railroad	100
Commission, v	199
In re Winn	458
Kansas, Missouri v	78
Keerl v. State of Montana	135
Keller v. United States	138
Kentucky, by Alexander, Revenue Agent, Selliger v.	200
Kentucky Railroad Commission v. Cincinnati, New Or-	200
leans and Texas Pacific Railway Company	199
Kentucky Railroad Commission v. Illinois Central Rail-	199
road Company	199
Kentucky Railroad Commission v. Louisville and Nash-	190
ville Railroad Company	175
Kentucky Railroad Commission v. Southern Railway	170
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	199
Company in Kentucky	TAA
La Asociacion de Señoras Damas del Santo Asilo de	
Ponce, Martinez v	20
T OTTOC TITUT MITTOR O	-

Lords and Catlin Company at Vistor Talling Machine	PA
Leeds and Catlin Company v. Victor Talking Machine	96
Company	
Lehigh Valley Railroad Company, United States v.	36
Lehigh Valley Railroad Company, United States ex rel. At-	20
torney General v	36
Louisville and Nashville Railroad Company, Siler et al., Constituting the Railroad Commission of Kentucky, v.	17
Constituting the Ramosa Commission of Kentucky, v.	11
McCabe, Chesapeake and Ohio Railway Company v.	20
Macfadden v. United States	28
Maile, Van Gieson v	33
Maiorano v. Baltimore and Ohio Railroad Company,	26
Mammoth Mining Company v. Grand Central Mining Com-	40
,	7
pany Manson v. Williams, Trustee in Bankruptcy of Hudson	•
	45
Clothing Company	40
Martinez v. La Asociacion de Señoras Damas del Santo	,
Asilo de Ponce,	11
Mason, United States v	1]
Mining Company (Mammoth) v. Grand Central Mining	
Company	7
Missouri v. Kansas	13
Montana, Keerl v. Mount Carmel Coal Company's Trustee in Bankruptcy v.	.10
The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Com-	
	12
pany	12
Murray, McSween and Patton, as the State Dispensary	
Commission, v. State of South Carolina ex rel. Ray,	4.5
Trustee	17
Murray, McSween, and Patton, Constituting the State	
Dispensary Commission of South Carolina, v. Wilson	
Distilling Company	15
Pennsylvania Railroad Company, United States v.	36
Pennsylvania Railroad Company, United States ex rel.	
Attorney General v	36

Railroad Commission of Kentucky v. Cincinnati, New Or-	
leans and Texas Pacific Railway Company.	199
Railroad Commission of Kentucky v . Illinois Central Rail-	
▲ ▼	199
Railroad Commission of Kentucky v. Louisville and Nash-	•
ville Railroad Company	175
Railroad Commission of Kentucky v. Southern Railway	
Company in Kentucky	199
Railroad Company (Albany & Susquehanna), Delaware	٠.
and Hudson Company v	435
Railroad Company (B. & O.), Maiorano v	268
Railroad Company (Cent. of N. J.). United States v.	366
Railroad Company (Cent. of N. J.), United States ex rel	
Attorney General v	366
Railroad Company (Del., Lacka. &. W.), United States v.	366
Railroad Company (Del., Lacka. & W.), United States ex	٠
rel. Attorney General v	366
Railroad Company (Erie), United States v.	366
Railroad Company (Erie), United States ex rel. Attorney	
General v.	366
Railroad Company (Ill. Cent.), Siler v	199
Railroad Company (L. & N.), Siler et al., Constituting the	
Railroad Commission of Kentucky, v.	175
Railroad Company (Lehigh Val.), United States v.	366
Railroad Company (Lehigh Val.), United States ex rel.	
Attorney General v	366
• *	366
Railroad Company (Penna.), United States ex rel. At-	
torney General v	366
Railway Company (A., T. &. S. T.) v. Calhoun	1
Railway Company (A., T. & S. F.), Hurley, Trustee in	
Bankruptcy of the Estate of the Mount Carmel Coal	
Company, v	126
Railway Company (A., T. & S. F.) v. Sowers	55
	207
Railway Company (Cinn., N. O. & T. P.), Siler v.	199

D - 11 (O 41) (O1	100
Railway Company (Southern), Siler v	199
Ray, Trustee, Murray, McSween and Patton, as the State	
Dispensary Commission, v	174
Repide, Strong v	419
Sand Filtration Corporation of America v. Cowardin .	- 360
Selliger v. Commonwealth of Kentucky, by Alexander,	
Revenue Agent	200
Siler et al., as Railroad Commission, v. Cincinnati, New	
Orleans and Texas Pacific Railway Company	199
Siler et al., as Railroad Commission, v. Illinois Central	
Railroad Company	199
Siler et al., Constituting the Railroad Commission of Ken-	
tucky, v. Louisville and Nashville Railroad Com-	
pany	178
Siler et al., as Railroad Commission, v. Southern Railway	•
Company in Kentucky	199
South Carolina ex rel. Ray, Trustee, Murray, McSween and	
Patton, as the State Dispensary Commission, v.	174
South Carolina Dispensary Commission v. Wilson Distill-	
ing Company	15
South Carolina Dispensary Commission v. State of South	
Carolina ex. rel. Ray, Trustee	174
Southern Railway Company in Kentucky, Siler et al., as	11.
Railroad Commission, v.	199
Sowers, Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Com-	198
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	E 1
pany v	58
State of Kansas, State of Missouri v.	78
State of Missouri v. State of Kansas	78
State of Montana, Keerl v	13
State of South Carolina ex rel. Ray, Trustee, Murray,	
McSween and Patton, as the State Dispensary Com-	
mission, v	174
Strong v. Repide	419
Turner v. American Security and Trust Company	25
in military and anticommunity of the second	

					P.
Ullman v. United States .		•			1
United Fruit Company, Ame	erican 🕽	Banana	Com	oany v.	3
United States v. Central R					
Jersey					3
United States v. Delaware and	d Huds	on Comi	oanv		3
United States v. Delaware,		-		Vestern	
Railroad Company		:			3
United States v. Dickinson					
United States v. Erie Railros	ad Com	pany			3
United States v. Evans .					2
United States, Hepner v					1
United States, Keller v					1
United States v. Lehigh Val	lev Rai	lroad C	ompai	nv .	3
United States, Macfadden v.			p	_,	. 2
United States v. Mason					1
United States v. Pennsylvania	. Railro	ad Com	nanv		3
United States, Ullman v.					1
United States on the Relation	n of Gil	son. Da	vidso	n Bros.	
Marble Company v .					
United States ex rel. The Atte	ornev C	eneral d	of the	United	
States v. Central Railros					3
United States ex rel. The Atte					
States v. Delaware and I					3
United States ex rel. The Atte				United	-
States v. Delaware, Lack					
road Company .					3
United States ex rel. The Atte	ornev G	eneral c	of the	United	_
States v. Erie Railroad C	-				3
United States ex rel. The Atte	•	•	of the	United	
States v. Lehigh Valley					3
United States ex rel. The Atte				United	_
States v. Pennsylvania I	•				3
a contract of the contract of		pc	J		
Van Gieson v. Maile					3
Victor Talking Machine Co	mpany	Leeds	and	Catlin	•
Company v				. 301	. 3
company	•	•	•	. 551	, .

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

•	PAGE
Water Company v. Boise City	276
Western Union Telegraph Company v. Wilson	52
Williams, Trustee in Bankruptcy of Hudson Clothing	
Company, Manson v	453
Wilson, Western Union Telegraph Company v.	52
Wilson Distilling Company, Murray, McSween and	
Patton, Constituting the State Dispensary Com-	
mission of South Carolina v	151
Winn, In re	458